UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
POST OFFICE BOX 711
MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36101-0711

DEBRA P. HACKETT, CLERK

TELEPHONE (334) 954-3600

September 18, 2007

NOTICE OF CORRECTION

From: Clerk's Office

Case Style: Ruth v. The Cadle Company

Case Number: 3:06-cv-01137-WKW

This Notice of Correction was filed in the referenced case this date to attach Exhibits 1 through 3 previously omitted by E-Filer.

The correct Exhibit PDF documents are attached to this notice for your review. Reference is made to document # 21 filed on September 18, 2007.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION

GREGORY RUTH,)
Plaintiff,))
vs.) CASE NO: 3:06-CV-1137-WKW-TFM
THE CADLE COMPANY)
Defendant.	<i>)</i>)

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT

Comes now the Plaintiff in the above-styled matter by and through undersigned counsel of record and pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires Defendant in the time allowed by law, to answer under oath the following questions and requests:

- 1. State the name(s), business address(es) and job title(s) or capacity(ies) of the officer(s), employee(s) or agent(s) answering or providing any information used to answer each Interrogatory.
- 2. State the correct legal name of your organization and the type of business entity.
- 3. State any other names that your organization uses to identify itself, whether such names are registered with any official, and the date and place of such registration.
- 4. State the name, title, address and job description of each director, partner, (general or limited), shareholder, employee, officer, and/or manager of Defendant who authorized or approved filing of the lawsuit filed against the state court defendants (Plaintiff herein) in the Circuit Court action in Russell County Alabama bearing CV 05-516.
- 5. Identify and describe any documents, handbooks, policy manuals, memorandum, or any other writings used to describe, depict, record, or establish Defendant's collection methods and collection techniques used in the collection of consumer accounts.
- 6. Identify by index listing, (including the title, author, subject, and date of creation) of any reports, memoranda, collection notices or other mailings for collection, used by Defendant to collect debt purchased or owned by Defendant or otherwise used by Defendant in its collection activities.

- 7. Is Defendant affiliated with any other organization (e.g., common ownership, overlapping offices or managers or common facilities or employees)? If so, describe the affiliation and identify the participants.
- 8 Identify all present and past contracts or agreements between Defendant and the original creditor of Plaintiff Gregory Ruth and give the date of the initial contract or agreement with the creditor. Include a history of the assignment of the account to the Defendant.
- 9. Identify the terms of the agreement between Plaintiff Gregory Ruth and the creditor pursuant to which Defendant sought to collect this account from the Plaintiff herein.
- 10. Describe any other business other than the collection of consumer accounts in which Defendant now engages or in the past have engaged.
- 11. Identify the attorney(s) in Alabama retained by Defendant to file collection suits during the last 4 calendar years.
- 12. Identify each document and other method of communication in which the Defendant authorizes an attorney to initiate lawsuits against consumers.
- 13. Identify each document and other method of communication in which employees or agents of the Defendant determines the statute of limitations before they authorize an attorney to initiate lawsuits against consumers.
- 14. Identify by name, job title and current employment status (employed or terminated, etc) each account manager, account collector or employee who worked in any capacity on the account being collected against Gregory Ruth. Include those employees from the initial receipt of the account at The Cadle Company until the present day.
- 15. Identify by caption, court, civil action number and result all litigation filed against the Defendant in the State of Alabama from 2000 to present.
- 16. If your response to the Requests for Admissions served simultaneously herewith is anything other than an unqualified admission, then please state herein, as to each such denial, the specific facts forming the basis for such denial and identify each witness and document upon which you will rely to support your denial.
- 17. Describe, step-by-step, the process by which you determined the state court action was not beyond the applicable statute of limitations prior to its filing.
- 18. State with specificity the Alabama statute of limitations code section upon which you rely and any case law as well.
- 19. State with specificity the name of the person, job title, and current mailing address and telephone number of the person who made the decision to bring the civil action in Russell County Alabama against Gregory Ruth.

- 20. List the legal names and job titles of all current or former employees, from 2000 until present of the Defendant who reports to any credit reporting agency or bureau, any updated or changed information on debtors for the accounts being collected in any of the rooms at the Cadle Building in Newton Falls Ohio.
- 21. List the legal names and job titles of all current or former employees, from 2000 until present of the Defendant who <u>requests</u> any information on debtors from any credit reporting agency on the accounts being collected in any of the rooms at the Cadle Building in Newton Falls Ohio.
- 22. List the legal names and job titles of all current or former employees, from 2000 until present of the Defendant who have worked in a the computer support, system support or accounting department for the Defendant at the Cadle Building in Newton Falls Ohio.
- 23. List the legal names, current job titles and identity (by name or location) of the room wherein they work, for each account manager or collection supervisor of the Defendant from 2000 until present.
- 24. List the name and job title of any employee of The Cadle Company who has given a deposition in a civil action that involved collection activities or an allegation of the violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act by The Cadle Company or any of its affiliates.
- 25. Provide the full style of case, jurisdiction and venue where filed, names of legal counsel and the civil action number, for all litigation filed against The Cadle Company for any claims of the violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act from 2002 until present.

This Request shall be deemed continuing so as to require further and supplemental production if defendant obtains additional documents required to be produced between the time of the initial production and the time of trial.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Gerald A. Templeton
Gerald A. Templeton, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

OF COUNSEL: TEMPLETON GROUP, P.C. 1000 Providence Park Suite 200 Birmingham, Alabama 35242 (205) 980 8828 phone (205) 980 8848 Facsimile jerry@ttgpc.com email

I hereby certify that on this <u>14th</u> day of August 2007, I served by Electronic Transmission and via First-Class mail, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document to the following parties:

Jack R. Thompson, Jr., Esq. KRACKE & THOMPSON, LLP 2204 Lakeshore Drive, Suite 306 Birmingham, AL 35205 205 933 2756

> /s/ Gerald A. Templeton OF COUNSEL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION

GREGORY RUTH,)
Plaintiff,))
vs.) CASE NO: 3:06-CV-1137-WKW-TFM
THE CADLE COMPANY))
Defendant.)

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS TO DEFENDANT

Comes now the Plaintiff in the above-styled matter by and through undersigned counsel of record and pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires Defendant in the time allowed by law, to answer under oath the following admissions:

- 1. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. Sect. 1692a(3).
- 2. The debt the Defendant sought to collect from plaintiff is a "debt" as is defined at 15 U.S.C. Sect. 1692a(5).
- 3. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone and you regularly attempt to collect consumer debts alleged to be due another.
- 4. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. Sect. 1692a(6).
- 5. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. Sect. 1692 et seq., applied to the collection of the debt the Defendant sought to collect from plaintiff.
- 6. The underlying alleged debt of Plaintiff was incurred for personal, family, or household purposes as it was used to secure the purchase of a manufactured home as the residence of Plaintiff.
- 7. Prior to the filing of the civil action in state court against Gregory Ruth, employees of the Defendant mailed at least one letter to the Plaintiff that threatened a lawsuit against him in an attempt to collect the underlying debt.
- 8. The filing of a time-barred civil action on a debt past its statute of limitations violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. Sect. 1692 et seq. as amended.

- 9. The threat of filing civil litigation against a consumer to collect a debt when the debt is time-barred is a violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. Sect. 1692 et seq. as amended.
- 10. Defendant has reported negative credit information to a Credit Reporting Agency on Mr. Ruth as part of the Defendant's attempts to collect the underlying debt in the state court action Defendant filed.
- 11. Defendant has not corrected erroneous information it provided to a Credit Reporting Agency on Mr. Ruth, plaintiff herein.
- 12. By correspondence dated December 15, 1986 to Gregory Ruth, the original creditor, Home Owners Funding Corp. of America accelerated the full amount of the debt owed by Gregory Ruth, repossessed the home and sold the repossessed collateral thereafter.
- 13. In Case 2060287, Styled "The Cadle Company v Gregory Ruth", the Alabama Court of Civil Appeals ruled in favor of Gregory Ruth and against The Cadle Company, and affirmed without opinion, the order of the Circuit Court of Russell County (CV-05-516) that granted summary judgment in favor of Gregory Ruth and dismissing the case filed against Gregory Ruth as the collection action was time-barred under the applicable 6 year statute of limitations.
- 14. The Cadle Company is qualified to do business in the State of Alabama.
- 15. The Cadle Company has provided annual tax reporting information to the State of Alabama for its activities therein.
- 16. The Cadle Company does not maintain any written policy and procedure on determination of the Statute of Limitations of a debt before filing a civil action to collect a debt.
- 17. The Cadle Company has been found to have filed time-barred civil actions in Alabama in the collection of debts that violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- The Cadle Company through its employees and agents continues to file time-barred civil actions in the United States that violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

This Request shall be deemed continuing so as to require further and supplemental production if defendant obtains additional documents required to be produced between the time of the initial production and the time of trial.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Gerald A. Templeton
Gerald A. Templeton, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

OF COUNSEL:

THE TEMPLETON GROUP, P.C.

1000 Providence Park Suite 200 Birmingham, Alabama 35242 (205) 980 8828 phone (205) 980 8848 Facsimile jerry@ttgpc.com email

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this <u>14th</u> day of August 2007, I served by Electronic Transmission and via First-Class mail, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document to the following parties:

Jack R. Thompson, Jr., Esq. **KRACKE & THOMPSON, LLP** 2204 Lakeshore Drive, Suite 306 Birmingham, AL 35205 205 933 2756

/s/ Gerald A. Templeton OF COUNSEL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION

	EMBTERN DIVIDION
GREGORY RUTH,)
Plaintiff,)))
vs.) CASE NO: 3:06-CV-1137-WKW-TFM
THE CADLE COMPANY)))
Defendant.)

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION TO DEFENDANT

Comes now the Plaintiff in the above-styled matter by and through undersigned counsel of record and pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires Defendant in the time allowed by law, to produce the following thing and documents:

- Produce the original of the personnel file for each collector, account manager or supervisor, or other employee involved in the debt collecting operation that had any contact with Plaintiff's file.
- Produce all manuals and guidelines governing the payment of salary, commission, and 2 bonuses for employees, collecting money in your collection operations, including collectors, supervisors, directors, attorneys, and persons in control which were in effect at any time from January 1, 2002 to the present.
- Produce copies of any civil complaint or litigation filed against the Defendant after January 1, 2002 to the present wherein the Defendant was sued for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 4. Produce and attach a true and correct copy of all complaint letters received by Defendant regarding each collector that has ever spoken with or communicated with the Plaintiff.
- Provide a listing of each telephone number used by the Defendant from January 1, 2002 5. until present. Include in the listing each primary number, secondary number, hunt group number and each extension number in the Cadle Company building in Newton Falls Ohio.
- Identify by bates-stamped number if previously produced, the copy of the original contract entered into between any creditor and the Plaintiff, that the Defendant states it has the right to collect upon and all documents in the chain of assignment of that note to the Defendant.

- 7. Provide a copy of each and every collection and monitoring criteria used in the collection efforts by Cadle Company employees.
- 8. Provide a copy of each form used by Cadle Company Employees to monitor the collection of accounts.
- 9. Provide a copy of each and every document that, Defendant contends, shows it was not a debt collector when filing the underlying civil action against the plaintiff.
- 10. Produce the original of the collection file on Gregory Ruth for inspection.
- Provide a copy of all documents in possession of Defendant showing or proving the 11. verification of the alleged debt.
- Provide a copy of each and every complaint filed against the Defendant with the Federal 12. Trade Commission, United States Attorney General and/or all states Attorneys General from January 1, 2002 to present.
- 13 Provide a copy of each and every Consent Decree, Consent Order, Judgment Order or Injunction entered against the Defendant from January 1, 2002 to the present.
- 14. Provide a copy of all documents relating to the procedures used to verify that the alleged debt owed by Plaintiff was not outside of the applicable Alabama statute of limitations.
- 15. Provide a copy of all letters received from attorneys from January 1, 2003 through the present complaining about the collection activities or conduct of employees of the Defendant.
- Provide a copy of all complaint letters received from consumers from January 1, 2003 16. through the present complaining about the collection activities or conduct of the Defendant or its collectors.
- 17. Provide a copy of all complaints received from Better Business Bureaus from January 1, 2003 through the present complaining about the collection activities or conduct of the defendant's collectors.
- Produce a copy of the most recent telephone bill for all telephones used in the Cadle 18. Building in Newton Falls Ohio.
- 19. Produce a listing of all current employees by job title, of The Cadle Company.
- 20. Produce a listing that gives the full legal name, last job title, last mailing address and last known telephone number of any person who has been terminated from employment at The Cadle Company or who worked in The Cadle Building in Newton Falls Ohio, in any capacity for the period of January 1, 2002 until the present day.

- 21. Produce a full and complete copy of each and every insurance policy which is applicable to the Plaintiff's claims or which may provide indemnity for those claims.
- 22. Produce any and all documents, tape recordings, computer notes, logs, letters, and any other document or records reflecting attempts to collect sums of money from the Plaintiff.
- 23. Produce copies of all tape recordings of conversations between the Plaintiff and Defendant or Defendant's employees.
- 24. Produce any document in possession of Defendant that Defendant contends proves or tends to prove that Defendant is not responsible for the damages of Plaintiff in this matter.

This Request shall be deemed continuing so as to require further and supplemental production if defendant obtains additional documents required to be produced between the time of the initial production and the time of trial.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Gerald A. Templeton Gerald A. Templeton, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff

OF COUNSEL: TEMPLETON GROUP, P.C.

1000 Providence Park Suite 200 Birmingham, Alabama 35242 (205) 980 8828 phone (205) 980 8848 Facsimile jerry@ttgpc.com email

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this <u>14th</u> day of August 2007, I served by Electronic Transmission and via First-Class mail, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document to the following parties:

Jack R. Thompson, Jr., Esq. **KRACKE & THOMPSON, LLP** 2204 Lakeshore Drive, Suite 306 Birmingham, AL 35205 205 933 2756

<u>/s/ Gerald A. Templeton</u>
OF COUNSEL